

# JFK's Head Wounds

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18> (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18>)

Uploaded by [The Future of Freedom Foundation](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUk12Fzwg5tLA)  
(<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUk12Fzwg5tLA>) on Thu May 27 2021.

This talk by Dr. David Mantik is part of the online conference The National-Security State and the Kennedy Administration.

Imagine: The dilemma for the autopsy pathologists on 11-22-63

Their Solution:

“Improving” the autopsy photographs and X-rays  
Writing a misleading autopsy report (multiple times)  
Elusive consistency on the posterior skull entry site  
Pretending not to know about the throat wound  
Including “eyewitness” statements in the autopsy report  
Also: They did not see the Harper Fragment

The Autopsy Radiologist: John Ebersole—my recorded interview (now at NARA)

The Radiology Technologist: Jerrol Custer

Subsequent Government Radiologists:

How they misled us

What they missed

The Harper Fragment: 15 Clues for its Occipital Origin

These are all consistent with a frontal shot.

---

[00:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18)

[Music] all right welcome to the next presentation in our conference the national security state and the kennedy assassination i'm jacob hornberger president of the future of freedom foundation the sponsor and host of this conference nice to be back with y'all and thank you for attending again uh as most of you know i'm sure by now our mission at fff is to present a principled case for the libertarian philosophy and as part of that mission we make the case for a limited government republic and in that process over the years we have done a lot of articles critiques on the national security state type of governmental system under which we've operated since around 1947. and part of that is examining all the horrific things that the national security establishment has done including the kennedy assassination we're now in our third presentation that forms the heart of this conference which is the medical evidence surrounding the autopsy of president kennedy we've already had two presentations we've got two more including tonight we're very honored and pleased to have dr david mantik with us this evening dr mantik is a radiation oncologist he got his phd in physics at the university of wisconsin he was serving as an assistant professor there and then he went to medical school he did his internship in residency at los angeles county usc medical center in los angeles he's been studying the kennedy assassination since at least the 1990s he's one of the rare people who have been permitted to view the jfk autopsy materials at the national archives in fact he spent nine days there examining the materials especially the autopsy x-rays now as you go through dr mantik's talk and as you go through the next talk next week by dr gary

[00:02:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=121s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=121s)

week by dr gary aguilar keep in mind one question throughout these presentations is there any innocent explanation for a fraudulent autopsy with that dr mantic it's an honor and pleasure to have you join us thank you for doing so thank you jacob my initial slides here refer to the comments of the prior speakers in this series doug horn's final question was if the jfk assassination was a simple murder as the warrant commission concluded why was evidence suppression and alteration required james humes was the chief pathologist at the autopsy on november 22nd we would ask him if a single gunman succeeded why perform two separate brain exams and then hide this from us another question or two for james humes if there was only one gunman why vacillate about the skull entry site and why lie about the location of the fragment trail on the skull x-rays mike chesser the preceding speaker noted dozens of very tiny metallic fragments that lay bearing near jfk's forehead on the x-rays so why does that imply a shot from the rear and if a snowstorm of fragments form the trail across the top of the skull on the x-rays why does this imply a full metal jacketed manicure carcano bullet of course neither these questions has a reasonable answer here's the puzzling autopsy photograph

[00:04:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=240s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=240s)

here's the puzzling autopsy photograph of the back of kennedy's head this is the official photograph still at the archives 16 parkland doctors did not recognize this photograph so the question is obvious is this authentic were all the doctors wrong here you see a group of four doctors placing the large hole at the back of kennedy's head in robert groton's book you can see many more similar photographs michael kurtz cites eight bethesda doctors these are the ones at the autopsy who described the same posterior head wound that the parkland doctors had seen so we have at least two dozen doctors who must all have been wrong if you accept the official report daniel ellisberg was famous for giving the pentagon papers to the new york times here's a quote from daniel the reality unknown to the public and to most members of congress and to the press is that secrets that would be of the greatest import to many of them can be kept from them reliably for decades by the executive branch even though they are known to thousands of insiders the title of my talk is jfk the medical quest and here are the goals of this talk first we will imagine the multiple dilemmas faced by the autopsy pathologist on november twenty second we will discuss their solutions we will then ask what they did not know at the autopsy then we will turn to the official radiologists who later reviewed their conclusions we will discuss how they misled us and what they missed

[00:06:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=361s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=361s)

how they misled us and what they missed the harper fragment plays a central role in this case since it came from the posterior right area of jfk's head and i've given 15 clues for that conclusion we will then turn to many persistent preposterous paradoxes in the medical evidence in this case and we will conclude with a summary break uh with a statement of stanley milgram's work and discuss his book obedience to authority of course most importantly we will discuss the three successful head shots as was first proposed a decade ago now by doug horn i view this now as the standard model to explain what happened to jfk we will return to this in a bit but in 1963 at the autopsy james humes occupied center stage on your left is boswell his assistant both were navy pathologists on the right is dr fink who was borrowed from the army that night these photographs were taken in 1963. in 1992 the journal of the american medical association published their interviews with humes and boswell things came later that year humes had signed on with us navy in 1943 so in 1963 he was completing 20 years of military service which is a magic interval according to an online source in 2017 u.s military offers very generous pension benefits after 20 years of service members can retire with 50 percent of their final salary for the rest of their lives i don't know exactly what the rules were in 1963 but i suspect

[00:08:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=481s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=481s)

in 1963 but i suspect humes had a lot at stake at that time albert einstein said imagination is more important than knowledge for knowledge is limited to all we now know and understand well imagination embraces the entire world so imagine with me what it must have been like to be at the autopsy on november 22nd 1963.

imagine being a pathologist at the jfk autopsy and having just been told that three shots were fired all of them from behind so for a few moments let's imagine the scene as i play the role of humes at the autopsy i see a large hole in the right occiput the image here was prepared for the movie jfk robert grodden's reconstruction is fairly accurate this is close to what hume saw you can see the large hole in the right rear here which is fairly close to what was actually seen by humes now pretending to be humes here again i saw the back of the head but i did not see the red spot here and i did not wash the hair the large hole extended into the occiput which is this area as i stated and commenting as mantic i i would say you can do your own stereo viewing with these two images you can check for yourself whether they seem authentic here's a common myth the scalp was not being stretched over a gaping hole here

[00:10:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=602s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=602s)

being stretched over a gaping hole here i know that from my stereo viewing at the archives the image is not 3d as it should be i looked at all the pairs of photographs at the archives and they are essentially all 3d as they should be but only here on the back of jfk's head where the image is highly questionable does it become 2d and that's because the same fake image was inserted into each member of the paired photographs shown just above look for yourself the pathologists were unable to completely cover the defect with the scalp as they themselves admitted speaking as humes in the posterior skull i originally identify a portion of a bullet entry hole the black mark was placed on the skull by humes here's the eop also marked with the black mark there this is the original site that humes reported to the warren commission and what he started with before the uh house select committee on assassinations which i will be calling hscA but before he was finished with his hscA testimony humes had moved the wound all the way up to here 10 centimeters higher or 4 inches and we will come back to that issue and i hope before we conclude you can actually see a video of hume's uh testifying before the hscA during which he describes um his thinking on this humes i invented a new definition slightly is four inches hum speaking i see the skull the ap skull x-ray and i would call this image on your left

[00:12:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=720s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=720s)

the red arrow here identifies a small metallic fragment which lay at the back of the skull this was cited uh in their report by fbi agents cyber and o'Neill and i must thank uh field regrew fielder for his photoshop work here and also larry rivera for his offer of assistance humes again i did not see that 6.5 millimeter thing this object was actually played as the central role in the hscA proceedings they decided that this was a cross-section of the bullet and then that this represented reality and uh reflected where the bullet had come in on the back of the head unfortunately for them larry sturdivant their physics expert claimed that this thing could not be a piece of metal unfortunately however larry didn't tell them that that only came up later when he was asked about it again i see the throat wound near the throat eye that's humes no tissue damage to the following at the lung apex there is a five centimeter contusion that's two inches and there's also bruising in the strap muscles of the neck i immediately recognized that this meant trauma on elm street damage to these sites could not be caused by a tracheotomy dr perry who did the tracheotomy at parkland would surely not accidentally cause a two-inch contusion at the top of the lung that's preposterous so i promptly know during the autopsy that a projectile had passed through the throat i did not need to speak to dallas to learn this

[00:14:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=842s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=842s)

speak to dallas to learn this my comment boswell later confirmed this while at the autopsy they knew about the throat wound eversol told me the same story and his comments are on a recorded interview which is now at the archives my comment here again in 1977 boswell had an epiphany for the hscA the original face sheet placed the back wound here where it's marked seven by four millimeters indicating its size but he raised this back wound into the neck as shown by the red arrow here gerald r ford my fellow resident of rancho mirage concurred with this even though he was not at the autopsy ford nevertheless claimed to know this these are identical ones on the left you see rydberg's drawing for the warren commission circled in blue on the right

jfk's shirt at the archives and you can see where the uh shirt was penetrated by something but these are supposedly in the official conclusions the same wound robert frazier for the fbi measured both the single hole in the shirt that you see here and the single hole in the jacket as a little more than five inches below the collar this is the photograph of back wound this is an official autopsy photograph still present at the national archives glenn bennett who was in delhi plaza stated quote i saw the shot hit the president about four inches down from the right shoulder does that match the rydberg drawing hardly

[00:16:05 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=965s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=965s)

fridberg later expressed his regret for his misleading jfk diagrams but the archives refused his request to view the jfk evidence so he published his own book back to hymns again humes on the single bullet theory even though we had no ct scans i knew that this trajectory was unlikely on the right you can see a cross section through the critical area of the lower neck on the low right the bullet enters the back about five centimeters to the right of the midline and uh according to the pathologist that it exited at about the midline in the throat so there's the trajectory it should have followed but it can't do that without crashing into bone which is shown in white here so the spine should have been fractured but of course it wasn't humes made that clear if it happened at a slightly lower level it would have punctured the lung but that didn't happen either we have kim's word on that so this is in a basically impossible trajectory here we have the autopsy face sheet which was prepared that night uh notice that there's a green horizontal line at the top and one at the bottom so from this you can tell that the the two figures uh should be able to be compared with respect to vertical levels the upper red line goes through the throat wound here the lower red line goes through the backwood so purely based on the face sheet hymns can say of course i knew that the back wound was much lower than the throat wound which made the single bullet theory quite implausible

[00:18:03 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1083s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1083s)

weeks later i that's humans again write the supplementary brain exam even though boswell and i both believe that jfk's brain was buried with his body now this is rather fantastic how can they write a report on an exam of the brain that has already been buried with the body the following monday but that is what they said that is what they believed on the left is a drawing an overhead view of nine photographs at the archives of the brain the report described a laceration that went all the way from front to back shown by the loi and there was essentially no damage to the left side of the brain and you can see for yourself the damage to the right side you can see here this is the cerebellum at the back of the head it was totally intact in this brain humes can defend himself here by saying well i only had a limited supply of spare brains humes was responsible for the weekly brain cutting sessions so this was right in his ability right what can i say he might reply i did the best i could with this brain this was the closest brain i could find to the actual damage in jfk but he could argue that they should get credit for omitting the date we don't know when this was done i think the date was deliberately omitted back to humes again i describe a 4.5 centimeter deep laceration we're talking about the distance from the vertex to the bottom of the laceration so using kim's own words and 4.5 centimeters

[00:20:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1202s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1202s)

kim's own words and 4.5 centimeters i've tried to place where the laceration would have been this is my work not humes's work he never did place this on a skull but this is consistent with what he said 4.5 centimeters from the vertex to the bottom of the laceration now here's the problem humes has told the warren commission and tried to tell the hsca that the bullet entered near the eop if the bullet entered here how can all this brain between the bottom of the laceration and the eop be intact but that's basically what he's telling us it makes no sense whatsoever and humans could very well say i was lucky that cornwell with the hsca in 1977 did not nail me for this huge discrepancy between this laceration which was described in our brain report and the eop entry a few more comments about this lateral skull x-ray since this is the first time we've seen it this very dark area here is very large and it's completely devoid of bright or soft tissue the optical densities here are about the same as in the maxillary sinus there's nothing in this area on the skull x-rays which are taken at the autopsy some people have said that the black area here means that the face the the

right face is gone and maybe the right eye is gone too but that's not the case we have autopsy photographs uh which demonstrate clearly that uh jfk's right face and even even the view from the anterior was essentially uh intact uh the parkland uh personnel agreed with that one our other item we should note is this metal fragment trail which is uh demonstrated by these tiny white spots all the way across the top of the skull that trail starts

[00:22:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1320s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1320s)

the top of the skull that trail starts right at the forehead as you heard from mike chester last week but this is the famous fragment trail which is of course totally inconsistent with the bullet coming in here more of this later humes at the autopsy on november 22nd we saw cerebellar damage but the brain we examined later had an intact cerebellum the high fragment trail on the x-rays would have meant a second headshot so this must be one headshot here but hume said the bullet entered here so he can't have it both ways he knows that two headshots means conspiracy so he's been backed into a corner so the high fragment trail on the x-rays would have meant the second headshot so we had to rewrite the autopsy report and describe the cerebellum as intact so the photographs of the brain in the archives today do show intact cerebellum so he was going to put his money on that horse again i am forced to obfuscate and even lie when necessary so i've listed here himself's response to all of the dilemmas he faced number one human speaking again i see the large occipital hole so i obfuscate he doesn't deny that it's there but he really doesn't pay attention to it nor does he describe it number two on the x-rays the fragment trail is too high it implies another headshot so i lie and in the autopsy report i described it as 10 centimeters lower this gets him into real trouble with the aarb in 1996. number three the autopsy cerebellum was damaged but it disagrees with the fake brain so i simply ignore the cerebellum

[00:24:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1442s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1442s)

number four on the x-rays i see metallic debris near the forehead you heard about that from chester last week i also see the forehead entry wound in the skin on the photographs so i incise the wound and i ignore the metallic debris i see bruising in the throat tissues i know this means trauma while jfk was still alive so i must lie and claim that the tracheotomy hit all this damage i had two phone calls with dallas during the autopsy so i lied or else everyone would know that i knew about the frontal throat entry i see a temporal bone entry near their right ear so i ignore it i correctly identify a right eop entry at the back of the skull but i later mislocated on video for the hscA and we'll see more of this i had no choice that entry had to match the high fragment drill to cover my tracks i had to write different author reports at different times especially after the exam of the fake brain i had no choice if this had not been jfk robert karnai would have performed the autopsy like james jenkins the technologist who stood at the table all night long with a pathologist and like dr robert walker a bullet expert who was there dr car and i also recall the wound on the right side of the head in the temporal area approximately above the right ear this information from about dr walker came quite recently as you see at the bottom on may 19th in an email from dr don curtis who was very much involved in this case too at parkland hospital charles crenshaw saw an entry right there

[00:26:03 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1563s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1563s)

saw an entry right there at the hairline i could not locate a higher resolution image so i copied this from my original 1992 vhs tape which i had recorded live if anyone has a better resolution image i would love to get it this is an artist's rendering of a photograph seen by quentin schwinn in rochester new york the home of eastland kodak rochester was also home to hawkeye works where the zapruder film may have been modified and now humes i hope for the best and i succeeded until the hscA in 1977 and the arb in 1996. then all hell broke loose especially in front of the arb with doug horn but i got to play golf in for three more years until 1999 when i died of lung cancer just like ever saul and took all of my secrets to the catholic corner in heaven and got to meet my fellow catholic jfk but meanwhile i kept my pension and even got a military promotion later lbj even gave me presidential cuff links after i served as his pathologist humes again the hscA interviewed me in 1977. they wanted to know about that entry wound so i changed my mind and pointed to

the car lake area there he is he moved it from here to here after all that's closer where the closer to where the fragment trail was that's up here still doesn't really match but it's it is closer you can listen to this testimony on youtube the link is there

[00:28:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1681s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1681s)

on youtube the link is there this encounter occurred on september 16th 1977 i want you to remember that date uh for a good reason as you'll see later september 16th so for the hscA then humes moved the entry site there was only one only one bullet he moved it from here to here 10 centimeters or 4 inches before the hscA during this encounter gary cornwell who was deputy chief asked me some tough questions and he took all the credit for my four-inch move cornwell regarding the posterior skull entry quote do you now have a more cons well considered or different opinion end quote humes quote i think i do have a different opinion and a few minutes later he adds this comment i erroneously previously identified the entry site in quote cornwell is this four inch discrepancy explainable and quote humes i have a little trouble with that you can also read about cornwell's recounting of these events in his book humes this is my final statement to the hscA quote i and my associates are quite elated in fact that all the substantive findings of the panel are in basic concordance with our findings mantic this is my rejoinder in other words don't worry about four inches the video of hymns with the hscA will be played after my lecture so sit tight humes can claim that he was blindsided he could say i did not know in time that critical autopsy photographs would

[00:30:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1800s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1800s)

that critical autopsy photographs would be destroyed or that critical autopsy photographs would be altered or that some skull x-rays would be deliberately lost and that the three extant skull x-rays would be decisively altered and he would be right about that in 1992 when humes was interviewed by the journal of the american medical association he recanted his hscA testimony and the journal of course ignored his backsliding here's what he told the journal quote the fatal wound was blatantly obvious end quote humes recalls quote again the entranced wound was elliptical 15 millimeters long and six millimeters wide and located 2.5 centimeters to the right and slightly above the external occipital protuberance so my comment is himself's four-inch move for the hscA just went up in smoke it vanished but humes has some excuses number one he was placed into a straitjacket he had no choice but to write misleading autopsy reports if he had refused conspiracy would have been apparent to all due to the obvious frontal shots number two he inserted misleading eyewitness statements into the autopsy report of course he didn't know they were misleading but in fact eyewitness statements are virtually never included in an autopsy report as dr cyril west has advised me number three he did not have jfk's clothing number four he did not know about the harper fragment which was not even cited by the warren commission he did not know that the magic bullet was bogus or that the fbi had two bullets that night but he did know and he never told us about the two brain exams for that he is comfortable

[00:32:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1922s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=1922s)

but humes was a respected professional i accept that i don't think he was incompetent after all he directed the weekly brain cutting sessions at bethesda and gary cornwell in his book real answers cites the strong respect he got from the forensic pathology panel of the hscA return next to the radiologist john eversole like me he was actually a radiation oncologist he recalled to me two phone calls that he uh remembered had occurred with dallas during the autopsy the first one at about 10 30 at night uh this conversation has been recorded and has been placed at the national archives you can listen to it yourself malcolm perry who did the tracheotomy of parkland originally also recalled two phone calls and he initially stated that they were on november 22nd william manchester who wrote the death of a president cites two phone calls during the autopsy robert carny who we discussed before quote he told the arb staff that he was aware of hearsay that dr humes had called dallas to talk to a surgeon later in the evening before the body left the morgue unquote john eversole also recalled for me during my phone conversations five to six skull x-rays there are only three in the official collection today gerald custer the technologists also confirmed this to me and most importantly

these two gentlemen never spoke to one another after the autopsy so there was no collusion here custer specifically recalled two oblique skull x-rays that no longer exist so what about these later government experts how did they mislead us the hsca forensic pathology panel

[00:34:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2041s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2041s)

the hsca forensic pathology panel trusted the photographs some of which you have not seen and they ignored the autopsy pathologist even when they disagreed with the photographs but they were not told that there was no providence for the photographs they were not told that the camera did not match the photographs and here's another myth they all believed that the x-rays were as immutable as god himself we know that's not true now of course the real problem was that they had never actually worked in the darkroom so they did not know how x-ray copying was done what about the later government radiologists what they missed or deliberately ignored number one the fragment trail is centered at the front of the skull with many tiny pieces right at the forehead as you heard last week from dr michael chesser i agree with that this clearly implies a frontal shot number two the forehead wound in the photos seems consistent with this number three the magical materialization of the 6.5 millimeter object is totally observed its absence on the lateral x-ray is also observed this is not physical reality number five the missing upper temporal bone on the x-rays we will return to this issue the white patch we will discuss that there is an absence of emulsion under the t-shaped inscription which we will also discuss it's interesting to note that the warren commission did not utilize the autopsy materials so the hsca was really the first government investigation to include these items later government radiologists miss all three clues to x-ray alteration optical density was totally outside their world view no one ever mentioned that possibility

[00:36:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2162s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2162s)

no one ever mentioned that possibility and the white patch which we will discuss is indeed otherworldly the 6.5 millimeter object simply cannot materialize like a magician's rabbit between 1963 and 1967 nobody saw it on november 22nd no one discussed it on november 22nd it's totally absent from the record and i suspect from the uh original x-rays this 6.5 million millimeter object cannot be absent from jfk's lateral x-ray which it is this is not physical reality if you see something from the front you should also be able to see it from the side on the x-ray that's not the case here these experts were too obtuse to look for missing emulsion under the t-shaped inscription more about this later furthermore the forehead shot was obvious tiny metal fragments precisely at the forehead cannot possibly imply a posterior shock russell morgan was the sole radiologist for the clark panel which released its report in 1968 based on work they had done in 1967. here's the newspaper article whose headline says expert backs warren report if you read the report it really should have said expert questions support and report look at this highlight the key questions remaining this is russell morgan talking are those of a possible conspiracy that's hardly the word report so russell morgan had his own grandfather here let's read this highlight the fragmentation depicted in the x-ray that's the fragment trail we've been talking about was so severe that one had to wonder if it was a so-called dum-dum or a hollow-point bullet as opposed to the kind which was found on the floor beside conley

[00:38:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2280s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2280s)

on the floor beside conley said dr morgan i'll show you those photographs a little later here so for russell morgan this was a last-ditch effort to cya the above article appeared in the lansing state journal on here's that famous date september 16 1977. you remember that date humes's above testimony occurred on september 16 1977 the very same day that morgan almost recounted was this a coincidence it was the same day that the american public saw the skull x-rays for the arrv the forensic radiologist the so-called ultimate expert was enlisted john fitzpatrick the forensic radiologist confessed that he could not solve the paradox of the 6.5 millimeter object but he ignored my optical density data and in response to my letter he offered only cliches instead for the arrb he returned for a second day just to stare helplessly at this 6.5 millimeter fade and he never explained it ironically his expertise was in childhood brain trauma not in x-ray or degree we turn to some other issues now and we illustrate several persistent and preposterous paradoxes this is not quite the

perpetual peter principle but it's close number one two at fbi bullets not one magic board number two the lateral skull x-rays do not match the brain photographs number three the lateral skull x-ray does not match the secretor frame two number four no blood is seen on the hair you've already seen that picture but the shirt is soaked number five the

[00:40:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2400s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2400s)

but the shirt is soaked number five the lateral skull x-ray has no 6.5 millimeter object on it that's physically impossible number six the white patch is absent from jfk's pre-mortem x-ray i'll show you that and from all other human skull x-rays number seven and impossible blur exists in crude frame two three two so let's look at these on your left is the drawing of the fake ring that's my opinion and doug horns and it was essentially the conclusion of the arb as well the supplemental brain exam described the longitudinal laceration which i showed you before that yellow line going through here but boswell claimed that the brain on november 22nd was so torn up it would not have shown a track that's not quite what we see here in the drawing on your right we have the lateral skull x-ray again and you can see this large black area which is essentially devoid of brain or other tissue the yellow sorry the blue area here is very interesting it's a collection of amorphous debris that doesn't look like solid metal at all it's more consistent with liquid like mercury at any rate on the x-ray this whole area has no brain so when we look at the drawing of the brain this whole area in yellow should show no brain but there it is there's intact brain on the one side and nearly intact brain on the other and of course this paradox is the result of the fact that the two teams of forgers failed to communicate with each other more information about the official brain report hume stated that the brain was not perfused we're talking about the autopsy brain and on number 22nd

[00:42:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2522s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2522s)

brain and on number 22nd that is preservative was not inserted into the major blood vessels but that's a critical piece of information humes and boswell implied that the brain was examined on the monday after the friday of the assassinations of several days later that would be nowhere near long enough for the brain to have been fixed in formaldehyde so especially if it had not been perfused so there's a paradox there uh dr fink sent a report to his superiors uh called the bloomberg report in which he stated that the brain looked different at the supplemental exam than it did at the november 22nd autopsy well that's because it wasn't the same brain porn quote according to numerous medical professionals this we're talking about the supplemental brain exam that came later that we believe in the phage exam this is a very well fixed brain appears very gray and very firm it's not pink at all and does not appear to be soft in any way and seems as it would appear after at least 10 to 14 days of fixation since hume has directed the weekly brain cutting sessions he would have had no shortage of substitute brains to use no one needed to volunteer for this missing body parts occur throughout history besides jfk these luminaries are also missing body parts einstein beethoven galileo here's the moral plan ahead and request a scat in patch not in pieces more paradoxes here on your left is the photograph you've seen before of an apparently freshly washed scalp and in the center is the very blooded shirt so the

[00:44:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2640s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2640s)

is the very blooded shirt so the question is how does all this blood get from a clean scalp and brain to totally bloody the back of a shirt something's wrong here on your far right is the pretty frame 317 which shows the wound on the right side of jfk's cheek how does that wound cause all this damage in the shirt again something's wrong here i suspect that this image is not honest and this image is not honest i suspect the shirt is honest i have examined that at the archives and place it on a model and examine exactly where a hole in the short leg with respect to the scapula here are two authentic fragments the left one is 6.5 millimeters this is an x-ray that i performed on an authentic human skull that i purchased on that skull i placed a cross-section of a 6.5 millimeter manic contour channel bullet and this is what it should like if it were actually that size that's not what you see on the right image of the jfk this metal fragment is authentic but it's very very small in comparison so i performed an experiment in the lab but first i took very detailed optical

density measurements at the national archives i measured the transmission of light through selected points of jfk's x-rays took not dozens but hundreds at least hundreds of measurements there so the measurements in particular here were taken from jfk's lateral skull x-ray uh the x-rays shown here are my experimental ones this how this is how a 6.5 millimeter cross-section should look on the anterior posterior x-ray

[00:46:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2761s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2761s)

anterior posterior x-ray and this is how it should look on the lateral x-ray but of course we don't see on jfk's lateral x-ray anything like that so i took measurements right through those images on jfk's x-ray shown in the lower graph here with the solid data points and you can see as we traverse through the metal fragment on the lateral x-ray there's only very slight change in optical density because this thing is so small but on the experimental one the one that i produce here there's a big change in optical density and that's consistent with what you see with your naked eye so in other words these two graphs are totally inconsistent with each other i should emphasize that i took 10 data points per millimeter so this was a fairly tedious and a tedious measurement it took precise localization of each successive data point all of my jfk data were taken at the archives from the extant x-rays my peer-reviewed article can be found online by simply typing jfk 6.5 saga greg henkelman md was a physics major and is now a radiation oncologist in practice for 30 plus years uh greg saw my optical density data and he wrote this review on amazon dr mantic's optical density analysis is the single most important piece of scientific evidence in the jfk assassination unlike other evidence optical density data are as theory-free as possible as this data deals only with physical

[00:48:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2880s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=2880s)

as this data deals only with physical measurements to reject alteration of the jfk skull x-rays is to reject basic physics and radiology dramatic has a phd in physics and has practiced radiation oncology for nearly 40 years he is thus eminently qualified in both physics and radiology we return next to the zapruder film the link shown here is definitely worth watching you should uh watch and listen as dino bruggioni disagrees with the extant secretor film he had actually seen this on the weekend of the assassination and dino brugioni was a photo expert as you can see he wrote this book titled photo fakery and there's his name dino brugioni was such an expert that he interpreted the images of the cuban missiles for jfk just for fun i put up another book here the commissar vanishes this is a wonderful humorous collection all historically accurate of how images were altered for the ussr this image is probably the most profound and troubling paradox and all the medical evidence i first discussed this issue in the 1990s after i got involved in this case and this image was prepared by david josephs and it really illustrates the point well in the left upper corner here you see zapruder frame three one two this is pretty presumably the instant that the the head is struck by a bullet according to the official story there's only one bullet so there's only one strike you'll notice that jfk is leaning quite far forward so what david did was to superimpose the lateral skull x-ray on jfk's head so we should really be able to tell here

[00:50:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3001s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3001s)

so we should really be able to tell here exactly how the bullet trajectory went through jfk's head shown in black here black arrow and again you see the fragment trail at the top now black instead of white but if this is how the bullets struck the skull and traverse the entire width of the skull where was the shooter he must have been high above daly plaza maybe hovering in a hot air balloon or else if the shot was fired from the front he must have been on the limousine floor behind connolly right at the feet of jet cake firing up but of course none of this makes any sense another paradox is the white patch which magically appears this is jfk's pre-mortem x-ray of 1960 just three years before he died there's no white patch here whereas it's clearly seen here in the print i want to also uh emphasize that the peaches bone is shown here it surrounds the ear canal and it is the densest bone in the human body we will come back to that in a bit but it's even worse than the absence of the white patch on the primordium x-ray given the white patch on the postmortem x-ray there is no corresponding dense object anywhere on the frontal ap x-ray if you see something that's that dense that that's so physically real on the lateral x-ray goodness you must be able to see the same object on a frontal

view things don't just disappear because you move your x-ray machine around in the real world there must be something equally dense seen on the ap x-ray but there isn't there's nothing there the first public account of this paradox

[00:52:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3120s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3120s)

the first public account of this paradox was given at the new york city press conference on november 10 1993 so from the press conference in manhattan i made this statement quote such an extremely dense object should have been as visible as a t-rex in downtown manhattan but there was no t-rex but we have data too my conclusion was that the white patch looked almost as dense as that petrous bone and the petrous bone was of course the densest bone in the human body so if the white patch was almost as dense as the petrous bone it would mean that jfk's skull was almost solid bone in the white patch from left to right that would make him the first bonehead president but we have actual numbers to work with we compared the optical density of the petrous bone to the white patch or the parietal area uh a number of one here would mean that the white patch was as dense as the petrous bone it's not quite that but it's close you see the number is 0.89 so it's the white patch is a little less dense than the petrous bone using all the measurements i took which were quite a few the same calculation on the pre-mortem x-ray however gives you quite a different number the white patch is not there it looks normal so the number is 0.43 and then i looked at a lot of different patients on x-rays that i had in my clinic and of course they were about the same as jfk's primordium there's no human patient that's a bonehead all the way through the skull at that point and jfk certainly does not hear the actual data on the on your left is the pre-mortem x-ray which was taken in august 1960 mike chesser traveled to the jfk library in boston and took this data

[00:54:03 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3243s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3243s)

jfk library in boston and took this data in 2015. his numbers are in blue on this x-ray on the right is the jfk postmortem again my data from that visit is shown in red but superimposed on the left image we'll take a close look at that here's a magnify view here is the petrous bone again surrounding the ear and you can see my measurements in the white patch are 0.61.610.55 0.64 which are not too different from the petrous bone at 0.55 of course i'm only showing you a few measurements here there were lots more so from these numbers we can say that these are almost the same it's just a little less dense here so the ratio is a little less than one now let's look at tessers numbers uh taken from the pre-mortem x-ray and here you go point 0.64.5 four point seven two and so on uh in other words his numbers in this area are pretty close to what i measured on the postmortem x-ray but here's the critical point in the petrous bone area his numbers are much different from the post-mortem 0.3.29.28 in other words the white patch does not exist this is a normal set of numbers for the parietal area where the white patch is and the ratio therefore is obviously very different that the white patch was indeed not on the original x-rays as consistent with humes's weird reaction to these x-rays during his aorb deposition quote i don't understand why that is you'd have to have some radiologist tell me about that i can't make that out i don't understand

[00:56:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3360s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3360s)

i can't make that out i don't understand this great point there i don't know what that's all about how is it possible end quote i should say how is it possible for humans to react to that way that way when he'd already seen the x-rays well it's because these are not exactly the x-rays he saw and here the magic rabbit appears the 6.5 millimeter object pops up well i was at the archives i looked very closely inside the borders of this object and on the next slide you will see my drawing of what i saw so here's the 6.5 millimeter object obviously greatly magnified on your left i saw an authentic a piece of metal with the sizes of showing the borders lined up really well with the 6.5 millimeter object but i saw something really inexplicable as tall i saw a piece of metal this tiny piece of metal shown in the red arrow right in the middle of nothing but this is inside the 6.5 millimeter object this is a double exposure you can read about double exposures in fielding's textbook special effects cinematography and here's an image from that book the 6.5 millimeter object is a result of a

double exposure in the dark room why could i see a double exposure that no other radiologist could see ironically because i was nearly blind high myopia is like wearing a low power microscope here's my prescription in 1982 showing my minus 8.75 diopters in one eye and minus seven in the other

[00:58:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3482s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3482s)

in one eye and minus seven in the other high myopia that is minus five or worse afflicts only four percent of the u.s population you can look it up but i had severe myopia i wasn't minus five one of my eyes was minus eight point seven five and only about one out of a hundred americans is that bad how many government radiologists had severe myopia so having to recognize that this was a double exposure i showed how easily it could have been done in 1963 here's a bird brain as i call it a pteranodon inside the skull and here's a scissors in black this was a double exposure letting light through this shape this was a double exposure blocking light from this shape so i made this template just cut out of this piece of cardboard and i took a photograph through it to show you that it was really an opening you can judge for yourself the size based on the key here so compare the shape of my cutout here to the shape of the tyrannid that's what i used larry sturdivant was the hscsa expert in physics and here's what he said about the 6.5 millimeter object in his book jfk myths as radiologist david manti points out there is no corresponding density on the lateral x-ray end quote quote the apparently metallic fragment was just as mysterious as when we went in to the archives so this is his comment after examining the x-rays at the archives in a previous email larry had declared that this object could not represent

[01:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3600s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3600s)

that this object could not represent an authentic metal fragment he'd never seen a cross section of a bullet deposited on the back of the skull and its other features were totally unworldly there are only three skull x-rays remaining in the archives all three are copies each one has been altered the left lateral has a t-shaped inscription which we will shortly discuss both laterals have a white patch which was not on the original x-ray the ap skull x-ray has this fake 6.5 millimeter fragment which of course was not on the original x-ray so let's talk about this t-shaped inscription for a bit i cannot show you jfk's x-ray because it's not in the public domain but i have examined it carefully on jfk's x-ray there is a teaching inscription like this yeah i don't know why that's there or who did that there's no discussion anywhere in the record of that but that's only irrelevant we want to talk instead about the physical features of that object this could only have been placed on the x-ray film by removing the emulsion so that more light gets through and you can see the t-shaped object so somebody scraped off emulsion on at least one side of the film possibly using their fingernails or more likely a tool perhaps a metal tool so when you look at the original x-ray you should easily be able to see where the emulsion is missing i have performed this experiment with lots of folks and everybody finds it very easy to see the missing emulsion so my conclusion was that this was not a smoking gun but rather allah mooses this is a burning bush this is serious stuff the original film must show missing emulsion under the t

[01:02:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3720s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3720s)

so steve tilly removed the film from its transparent envelope and i viewed each side separately under many angles of illumination no emulsion was missing on either side conclusion this can only be a copy fill which opens the door wide to alteration the copy film of course would faithfully reproduce t-shaped inscription but the copy film was not physically molested so it retained all of the emulsion on both sides that's what i saw emulsion on both sides and the question is obvious where's the original film here's another paradox this is costello's impossible blur in frame zapruder 232 uh you can watch the animation at the link here or you can read his discussion on page 183 this frame was published within the first week of the first week after the assassination in life's memorial edition which i retrieved from my mother costello notes that the blurring of the background and blurring on the limousine due to motion let's add to a specific number and but they don't they don't this can only mean film alteration or else a violation of the laws of physics here's a copy of life's

memorial edition let me read the last part of this article to you from the window of the building lee harvey oswald aiming his carbine tracked the presidential car in the crosshairs of his telescopic site then oswald fired three times well obviously we didn't need the warrant commission that was just a lot of extra time and

[01:04:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3840s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3840s)

that was just a lot of extra time and expense life magazine already had solved the case meanwhile at the fbi what about that magic bullet or was it two bullets at the fbi at 7 30 that night richard johnson delivers a bullet to chief rowley at 7 30. you can see the time as it was stated down here by the red arrow at the white house let me back up you can see that the evidence was received from special agent elmer lee todd at the white house the same man elmer lee todd receives a bullet at 850 from chief rowley and he that's elmer lee todd places his initials on the bullet and here's the time at the red arrow received from chief rowley by elmer lee todd and there's todd's initials and name so this sequence of events reminded me of that wonderful abaddon and costello sketch of who's on first which you can check out at the lake fraser says the bullet arrived at 7 30 p.m todd took possession of a bullet at the white house at 8 50 p.m how could fraser receive a bullet in the lab from todd at 7 30 p.m if todd did not have a bullet until 8 50 p.m fraser acknowledged receiving the todd bullet by putting his personal mark that's rf on the 850 pm todd envelope john hunt's article is titled the mystery of the 730 bullet and these are statements from john ce399 that's the magic bullet cannot be the same bullet that todd handled on november 22nd so a second bullet was delivered to the fbi lab and john hunt concludes

[01:06:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3962s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=3962s)

to the fbi lab and john hunt concludes as follows quote the historic ce 399 bullet that's the magic bullet introduced into evidence before the warren commission is not the same bullet that todd handled on the day of the assassination end quote and another quote unfortunately whatever bullet todd actually handled that day has apparently been lost to history and quote and you can check out the link elmer leads elmer lee todd's initials are not on the magic bullet they should be this archive's photograph was labeled by john hunt and you can see the initials of the men at the bottom at the archives in june 1994 while viewing the actual bullet david mantic and astronomer steve majeski also an expert in optical density confirmed that todd's initials were missing here's another paradox this incision was not seen in dallas so who did this supposedly all autopsy photographs were taken before any autopsy work was done at bethesda but this was not seen in dallas how did that get there this is what boswell told the aorb and the link is shown there there was an incised wound up there that extended into the right eye socket and then back across his temporal and frontal bone end quote my comment scalpels cause incisions but bullets cause wounds boswell said this was a wound and then we have the paradox of the two limousine fragments shown here view these are the supposed nodes here on the

[01:08:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4081s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4081s)

these are the supposed nodes here on the left and tail on the right of the warren commission's head bullet remember there's only one bullet that hit the head in the official deal at any rate such were the conclusions of robert fraser the warren commission ballistics expert the reader can decide exactly where to insert the 6.5 millimeter object between these two metal fragments which is what the warren commission claimed the warren commission wisely refrained from this wacky exercise here's another paradox in chester's talk last week he noted that there was missing temporal bone shown here in pink missing temporal bone was also confirmed by dr mcdonald for the hscs here's his quote nearly complete loss of parietal bone the upper portion of the temporal bone and a portion of the posterior aspect of the right frontal lobe end quote so i placed three trajectories on the skull here the upper one in blue is basically the hscs trajectory you recognize the site where humes moved the entry wound by 10 centimeters but you see that this trajectory totally misses the temporal bone this trajectory cannot cause missing temporal bone my friend tink thompson has

just published last second in dallas and he accepts this blue trajectory as the one so be wary of thompson's view of the medical evidence in his new book there are many problems with it now the red trajectory would fit more closely with hume's original eop entry site and you can see that it

[01:10:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4201s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4201s)

eop entry site and you can see that it does have a pretty good chance of knocking out some of the temporal bone but the one i like best is the purple one because this is consistent with the entry reported by many witnesses including several at the autopsy a bullet entered uh slightly above and in front of the right ear here here's the ear canal circled in yellow so even witnesses in d lee plaza noted this and it's consistent with also also with dr kim clark the neurosurgeon who described this wound so it was an oblique shot probably fired from a grassy knoll entering the temporal bone here and exiting this is key part exiting right where there's bone missing on the skull that everyone noticed so this is a highly likely trajectory the temporal bone inside the skull extends from one side to the other billy harper that weekend um found a bone fragment a fresh bone fragment in daily plaza this is a map of daily plaza this is elm street and you can see in red here where jfk was at zapruder frame three one three now billy hopper said he found the bone fragment here i i suspect this is not actually where it landed i'm quite convinced that somebody must have moved it so billy harper was a pre-med student whose uncle was a pathologist in dallas so billy carries the bone fragment to his pathologist uncle and three pathologists at the hospital methodist hospital examine this bone and they all declare that this is occipital bone

[01:12:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4320s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4320s)

is occipital bone this is my reconstruction of the harper fragment in the occipital area what's amazing about this is that there was a metallic smear on one corner right there and if you remember what hume said about the eop wound it was 2.5 centimeters to the right of the eop and slightly above the eop oh my gosh that's what i just showed you here this harper fragment perfectly matches humes's description here's the photograph of the harper fragment at the fbi by the way this is a much higher resolution photograph than the one that thompson just published in last second in dallas so look at this one instead of his here's the harper x-ray by the fbi this was not published in the king's book you can see that there is indeed metal right here if we compare the photograph where the smear was located on the outside to the x-ray you can see that it's the same place in other words what the eye is seeing here is definitely a small bit of metal as shown by the x-ray now the metallic smears on the outside of the bone this clearly implies a bullet entry a parietal site for the harper fragment which a lot of people like to think of implies a bullet entry near the top of the hat this is not the colic area this is at the vertex quite some distance from the colic area but if the smear is on the outside how does a bullet enter the top of the head this makes no sense parietal supporters never explain how this is possible just try asking them

[01:14:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4440s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4440s)

this is possible just try asking them well if a bullet entered at humes's eop site then everything fits the smear is naturally on the outside we turn next to photograph f8 the mystery photograph you can see a large defect here so we can readily suspect that this is the big hole that everyone saw i've listed 15 clues to his occipital origin that's too much for us today we can however say a few things about it the three dallas pathologists thought it was occipital john ebersole told me there was a big hole in the right occiput and he saw the x-rays so he was convinced there was a big hole at the right rear many parkland medical witnesses saw a big hole there you saw some photographs of them earlier eight bethesda md's agreed cerebellum was seen as traumatized at parkland hospital by doctors like robert mcclelland that's consistent with a low rear entry the autopsy report actually describes the hole as going into the occiput then there's boswell's sketch in a statement these are totally consistent with the big hole in the rifle the metallic smears on the outside then we want to look at the mystery photograph a little more closely and some optical density data while at the archives via the stereo scope i saw fat pads about here in photo f8 you see this photo is cut off it doesn't give

you the full view that you get at the archives the fat pads that i saw there were confirmed by kirchner for the arb and now also by dr chesser if we can see fat pads here then we must be looking from the rear down toward the abdomen and toward jfk's feet which must be down here somewhere

[01:16:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4561s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4561s)

here somewhere so this is totally consistent with this photograph being taken from the rear and this would be the large hole when i was there i even saw a nipple protruding from the chest while stereo viewing this is my placement of the harper fragment into that photograph in fact you can see on the ap x-ray almost exactly where there are black areas that represent where the harper fragment was absent so this reconstruction is based on a lot more than just this picture but to a large extent on the ap x-ray as well the original autopsy catalog description of a of f8 describes it as a posterior skull view so while at the archives i took lots of optical density measurements as you can see here and we're going to focus in the next slide on the numbers just inferior to this red fragment this is a small fragment that was authentic at the back of the skull so here's a close-up view in this area the numbers are roughly the same this is a fairly smooth or level plateau but the numbers are much higher than the numbers here as you can see for yourself the higher numbers mean missing bone so here we have a relatively level plateau at one level and here we have another plateau which is consistent with a lot of missing bone exactly in this area just below that metal fragment i i should add that i took these optical density measurements on three different days this is only one day's viewing so there's lots of data here that i'm not showing cerebellum was visible via the

[01:18:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4681s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4681s)

cerebellum was visible via the hyperfragment hole at parkland hospital and that makes sense this is about where the harper fragment came from on this view and you can see especially if brain were missing it would have been fairly easy for them to see cerebellum here's the dilemma faced by the hsc though the hsc could not admit to a traumatized cerebellum as mclelland and many others at parkland had recalled seeing that is because the brain follows showed an intact cerebellum so the entry wound absolutely had to be elevated to the red spot the one that humes did not recognize so all the witnesses to the big posterior hole including dozens of doctors had to be discredited back to john eversol the autopsy radiologist he told me on recording that there was a big occipital hole he told the hsc in 1978 that the wound was occipital during the autopsy a large bone fragment arrived and ever saw concluded that it must have come from the occiput so obviously he knew there was occipital bone missing he was wrong about that fitting in there but it clearly shows his knowledge of a big hole there on the x-rays unlike the clark panel he saw no large metallic fragment but he stopped talking to me when i asked him about the 6.5 millimeter object here are the paired images from grodin's book these are not identical they're slightly different so that you can use a stereoscope and judge for yourself whether the view is two-dimensional or three-dimensional here's robert groen's book which is full of wonderful pictures now we have to talk about the three successful headshots a bit more that has first proposed a decade ago by doug horn

[01:20:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4801s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4801s)

we basically accept a bullet entry near the eop consistent with what humes and his colleagues said they never really backed away from that except for hume's testimony before the hsc then the bullet trail in the or the fragment trail in the x-rays must be due to a bullet entering at the red arrow that's very hard to avoid and then we have the temporal bone entry which is quite distinct and that one likely caused the blowout at the back of the head so if you want to get into detail you can read my ebook and go through this table carefully for yourself now in the fragment trail on the x-rays the lateral x-ray the trail stops well be well short of the posterior skull because the fragment trail does not leave the skull it cannot explain the occipital hull but a temporal shot explains the whole also note that this trail of bullet fragments begins in the forehead it does not begin in the temporal area and here's my survey of all metallic fragments on both the ap and lateral x-rays as i performed it at the archives this is as accurate as i could make it with the sizes of these objects uh roughly reflecting the sizes of reality on the x-rays this is the site that chesser spoke about with many tiny metallic

fragments located near the forehead and this is an interesting site here of amorphous debris it looks more like liquid than metal more myths the white patch does not cover the occipital hole the hole in the occipital bone lies too far posterior for that

[01:22:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4921s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=4921s)

far posterior for that also the fragment trail does not lead to the white patch they have no relationship did a mercury bullet strike jfk's forehead the day of the jackal by frederick forsyth has a scenario where a mercury bullet was constructed to assassinate de gaulle in 1963. of course that didn't happen for soft metal bullet results you can follow these two links now evidence for a jfk mercury bullet on the x-rays is as follows there are multiple round fragments with fuzzy borders the roundness is a strong clue the borders are unlike the distinct borders of the known metallic debris on the same x-ray there are many many very tiny fragments near the quarter reported by chesser the limited range of all these fragments is also consistent with a mercury bullet the amorphous debris is very atypical of solid metal the tiny fragments are widely scattered they're even seen on the left side the mark head explosion and the skull devastation do not fit a full metal jacketed bullet as russell morgan had cured let's think of some more myths here daniel kahneman won a nobel prize for his work in psychology and much of his work is discussed and illustrated in thinking fast and thinking slow official myth number one the shots that killed jfk were fired from the sixth floor kahneman quote a reliable way to make people believe in falsehoods falsehoods is frequent repetition because familiarity is not easily distinguished from truth and quote official myth number two a bullet struck the back of jfk's neck and exiting his throat

[01:24:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5040s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5040s)

throat as an aside randy robertson and don thomas each support their own variant of the single bullet theory kahneman quote it is the consistency of the information that matters not its completeness indeed you will often find that knowing little makes it easier to fit everything you know into a coherent pattern and a quote official myth number three three shots were fired kahneman quote the confidence we have in our beliefs depends mostly on the quality of the story we can tell even if we see very little we often fail to allow for the possibility that critical evidence is missing what we see is all there is in quote official myth number four all the shots were fired by lee harvey oswald kahneman quote they didn't want more information after all it might spoil their story unquote here's my summary the medical quest is over we now understand the paradoxes daniel kahneman was right for the media a good story is all that someone perhaps humes removed bullet fragments from one or two bullets someone likely ever saw doctored the x-rays there were three head shots forehead right temple and eop the forehead shot cannot explain the occipital hole the temple shot cannot explain the fragment trail it was sheer madness for the hscA to elevate the rear shot by four inches and to accept the 6.5 millimeter fake as authentic and build their case on that finally do not trust government committees my nine visits to the national archives have shown here in 2018 i asked to return again especially to inspect all those flying tiny fragments to the forehead that chester reported and i was banished forever by senator paul kirk dr chesser has likewise now

[01:26:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5160s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5160s)

kirk dr chesser has likewise now also been banned in conclusion in 1963 stanley milgram showed how willingly humans follow orders from authority figures my goodness have we seen that during covet james j humes the chief pathologist had a double dose of subjugation one from the military for 20 years and another from the catholic church which he attended promptly after the autopsy he did not even go home to sleep from the dust cover stanley milgram's experiments on obedience to malevolent authority seemed to me to be the most important social psychological research done in this generation this is from roger brown at harvard university does doug horn have connections in ohio this is my recent rental car plate in ohio but he got the year wrong after all jfk like my mother was born in 1917 not 1901. 1917 of course was also the famous year when lennon entered petrograd multiple sniper teams were isolated from one another according to john west the most important aspect was using contract killers i.e sniper teams with deniable connections they included

the corsican mod the american mafia cuban exiles and domestic triggermen these teams were compartmentalized probably not in sync with each other which compromised the operation this is written by a professional sniper after world war ii hitler's top commando otto scorseni built his global assassination headquarters in madrid near ava gardner and juan jerome major ganes who i have met and who my daughter has videotaped describes the possible connections between william king harvey james angleton both cia and qj win curiously ike is said to have kept otto's photo in the white house otto may have directed one of the teams in lily plaza

[01:28:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5282s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5282s)

the parkland doctors documentary was screened at oswald's mock trial you should purchase this if you don't have it and watch it carefully here's a short list of believers in the jfk conspiracy lbj nixon connelly hoover clyde tolson associate director of the fbi carter the loach assistant director of the fbi william sullivan fbi domestic intelligence chief john mcconne director of the cia david atley phillips cia disinformation specialists and so on from the homeland of brave new world the daily mail on november 22nd last year more than a quarter of uk students self-censor their opinions because they fear their universities won't cancel culture and 40 percent are afraid their careers will be ruined if they speak out humes would have understood this abraham lincoln america will never be destroyed from the outside if we falter and lose our freedoms it will be because we destroyed ourselves for beginners i suggest starting here this article is also at my website thematic view and my ebook is on amazon so that concludes my talk and we hope next to view video of hymns before the house select committee in 1977.

[01:30:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5401s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5401s)

and in addition there were david i can hear it in the background but you haven't shared your screen yet are you able to do that uh say that again said you're not uh we we can hear in the background but you haven't shared your screen yet are you able to do that uh you'll have to tell me how to do that again i don't see any obvious access on my screen okay you need to get back to zoom and that hit share screen at the bottom again you're going too fast how do i get back to zoom uh you can click on the the uh zoom icon you should be able to see that no no such uh are you running on a mac or a pc pc pc uh so you don't have it um let's see here it's been so long since i've used a pc uh i tell you what i think i can play that video for you would you like me to play that video well since we seem to be at a dead end here i suppose so i was going to play a little more than you and i had talked about but since i can't access that well i haven't queued up at 16 20 so so i think we'll have to do that perhaps we can put more of it on your site later then but go ahead with that all right well where do you want me to queue it up at well um if you have that option i would start at 59.22 59 20 59 22 this only goes from 16 minutes and 19 seconds so you know what you have a different length video than i have so i cannot give you a number okay well i'll go ahead and play it as uh starting here too

[01:32:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5521s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5521s)

uh starting here too if you if you want to back up another three minutes or so that would be better than where where i previously told you right in here oh i see this image now yes all right so you had me end this at 20 minutes before so that's where i'll end it this time all right uh i'm going to i'll start this at 13 14. yeah go ahead i'd like to see this too but i don't know if i can do you have sound i don't all right i'm not hearing anything all right let's see here hold on sense of opportunity that various panels of very well qualified forensic pathologists have had to go over them we did a reasonably accurate job in the category cataloging these photographs so that i saw them on that occasion i saw them again on the 27th of january of 1967 when we again went to the archives and and made some summaries of our findings i go back further to the original autopsy report which we rendered in the absence of any photographs of course and we made certain physical observations and measurements of these wounds i state now that those measurements that we recorded then were accurate the best of our ability to discern what we had before our eyes we described the wound of entrance in the posterior scalp as being above and to the right of the external occipital protuberance

[01:34:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5640s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5640s)

occipital protuberance a bony knob on the back of the head you've heard dr biden the committee members heard him described today and it's obvious to me as i sit here now with this markedly enlarged drawing from the photograph that the upper defect to which you pointed or the upper object is clearly in the location of where we said approximately it was above the external occipital protuberance therefore i believe that that is the wound of entry its relative position to bony structures underneath is somewhat altered by the fact that there were fractures of the skull under this and the president's head had to be held in this position with making some distortion of of uh anatomic structures to produce this picture by the same token the object in the lower portion which i apparently and i believe now erroneously previously identified before the most recent panel is far below the external occipital protuberance and would not fit with the original autopsy findings i'd like to show you in addition to the photograph or the drawing which is now on the easel what has previously been admitted as exhibits 52 and 53 and also what has previously been discussed as exhibit 302 i don't believe mr chairman that exhibit 302 was previously admitted into evidence and if it was not i would ask that it be admitted at this time without objection it may be entered into evidence at this point [Music]

[01:36:05 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5765s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5765s)

first dr humes with respect to the x-rays have you also today had an opportunity to look at those x-rays uh yes sir i would ask you if you would mind stepping to the easel and describing for us what your view or your opinion would be as to the location of the entry wound on that x-ray okay pointer or something of that built pointer oh excuse me i believe that particularly in this rather enhanced picture i might say it's a pleasure to have such because i didn't have anything of this kind uh formally but this would be the point of entrance [Music] for the record simply would you try to describe the point that you just indicated well this in this approximate area would be about where the external occipital protuberance would be the knob we can feel in the back of our head this would be above it there's a great enlargement here so it looks considerably further away than it would be on a standard size film or on the or on the skull and i believe that this is above the external occipital

[01:38:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5880s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=5880s)

is above the external occipital protuberance i think it also shows on a film that dr uh baden was showing earlier i could show is even better in the ap view of the the intro posterior view of the skull all right so then you would in effect agree with the testimony of dr baden that the entry wound on the x-rays is at the point in which there is a somebody from a novice's point of view a dislocation or a jutting out fracture line disappointed it's a fracture line it just out from that right okay thank you if i might add and more importantly i had the opportunity which none of the gentleman had to do to examine the president's skull from the inside when the brain was removed in great with great care there was one and only one wound of entrance i think we're in a somewhat of a semantic discussion as to where it was and would you agree that the fragments shown in the upper portion of the skull would also be relatively consistent with that same entry location on the oh yes skull however this bullet was so disrupted those fragments i think could be virtually any place and referring to exhibit 302 which is the the one on the very left the drawing of the brain would you also agree that the disruption of the brain as shown in that drawing is also in the upper portion therefore would also be roughly consistent with that same entry location

[01:40:05 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6005s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6005s)

all right we're back live can you hear me david yes you're coming through fine okay thank you very much for that excellent presentation and let's now move into q a first question is does the massive staining on the rear of the shirt indicate anything about the wound no very little but this was a massive wound to the skull and brain and so he bled out huge amounts of blood and if you agree with me that there was a big hole in the right rear of the head it would have had easy access to the shirt in the back it doesn't tell us very much more about location than that okay next question jenkins said that there was bruising of the lung but that it was the middle lobe not the top of the lung so first tell us who jenkins is and then jenkins was a technologist who

assisted the pathologist at the table all night long and he does recall such bruising near the middle of the lung but there's no hole in any of the clothing of jfk to be consistent with that so i can only conclude that his memory is wrong there was bruising at the top of the right lung that the pathologist reported and that's all i think there really was okay did the autopsy describe the fragment lower than depicted in the x-rays the fragment trail i guess that's what he means yeah yes of course humes was trying to confine himself to one shot so he had to move that fragment trail in his autopsy report

[01:42:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6120s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6120s)

his autopsy report by 10 centimeters down to match his eop entry so basically he lied about that okay next question what exactly was the object described in the cybert and o'Neill's report those were the two fbi agents at the autopsy they described a small metal fragment at the back of the skull which was never put into evidence i don't believe it was taken out as a specimen that night it was very small as you could see from that first x-ray i showed but i think that the fact that they mentioned it is consistent with the small fragment you see on the lateral x-ray okay next one doesn't seem to be a question it says here's a partial testimony from dr humes to the aarb in 1996 changing his position from the house select committee and reaffirming his original warrant commission testimony that the entrance when was near the eop and not four inches higher and he's got a long quote um so i don't think i need to read that it doesn't seem to be a question i think the only time that humes changed his testimony was in the video that you just showed for the hscA otherwise he and his colleagues always insisted on the very much lower eop entry okay well let me read the quote as he has it i most firmly believe that the location of the wound was exactly where i measured it to be in relation to the external occipital protuberance and so recorded it in the autopsy report after all that was my direct observation in the morgue and i believe it to be far more reliable than attempting to interpret what i'd believe to be a photograph which is subject to various interpretations okay this question has the suit jacket been preserved to compare with the bullet hole in the shirt well yeah the as robert fraser said the hole in the jacket was a little over

[01:44:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6242s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6242s)

the hole in the jacket was a little over five inches down from the collar and he said the same thing about the shirt was there more to the question no uh next question isn't joseph's drawing more like the incorrect warrant commission picture the head seems bent too far forward well we're only assuming that secretor frame 317 is authentic and assuming that the x-ray of the lateral skull is authentic and we're comparing the two and they don't match there's something wrong okay next question what does the t-shape mean well as i said in my talk we don't know what it means or who did it and that's not relevant what is relevant are the physical characteristics of that t-shaped inscription the missing emulsion is the chief finding there has to be missing visible emulsion a bit visible missing emulsion on one side of the x-rays at the archives or this is not an authentic first generation copy and in fact it's not the emulsion is all there on both sides so it's a copy film okay next is the pink area consistent with the flaps seen in the brooder film i'm not sure what pink area is being referred to you're talking about gross reconstruction uh not central bone the flap was not temporal bone uh in the zapruder frames that was the right side of the skull well it was probably the lateral portion of the temporal bone if you want to be precise okay why would someone move the harper fragment but not remove it from the scene well you have to uh speculate about human nature here we have other reports that other bone fragments were picked up in daily plaza that day we have eyewitnesses who report doing that and then they drop them after picking them up so i think this is entirely

[01:46:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6360s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6360s)

them up so i think this is entirely consistent with human nature they probably didn't want to be involved right next question could the picture of the rear of jfk's head chart number five be a picture taken after the autopsy when the undertakers had placed a rubber insert on jfk's head to cover the head wound and clean the body note there is no blood on the neck or back well if you want to assume that then you have to explain why that image in seroscopic viewing is 2d you can't explain that unless the image is the same absolutely identical in

each member of the photographic pair the reconstruction you described is not consistent with that okay next question please further explain why the temple wound does not explain the fragment trail well the temple is just in front of the ear and slightly above the fragment trail does not begin there it begins in the anterior hairline just above the right orbit they're totally different anatomic locations uh you can't fit the trail with a temporal shot at all okay the next one just has a link to dr mcclellan on youtube and which is a very good thing to look at by the way okay and and they would somebody would just go to youtube and type in dr robert mclaughlin oh yes that should pop up quickly okay wonderful uh the next question is a wonderful series thank you nice to see you david could you comment on sherry feaster's findings well first of all can you tell us who she is and then what do you want to comment on it well certainly i can sherry feaster has published her own book do you remember the name now i've locked blocked the name myself but

[01:48:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6481s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6481s)

i've locked blocked the name myself but cherry feaster was a forensic expert she was expert in spatter analysis and i have written a review of her book as well which you can find at my website thematicview i think sherry got a lot of things right but she did make some serious mistakes which you can read about in my review okay i'm looking up her book uh enemy of the truth miss forensics and the kennedy assassination yes that's good okay next one would you please comment on james jenkins talk in dallas in 2013 for which you took copious notes this is doug horn's question i might as well disclose that especially two things what he said about the idadox drawing of the photo of the superior brain parentheses that it did not look like the brain handed him by humes and also do you agree with his opinion or judgment that jfk's brain had been removed earlier that evening before humes handed it to him to infuse in order to remove bullet fragments yes i basically agree with both of those statements from doug i think jenkins statements were accurately reported by doug's comment here okay i may have missed something but at one point in your presentation this is the question speaking of dr hume's voice you might have said he had no knowledge of the harper fragment was it not brought into the morgue that night and fitted into the skull no it was not there that night and humes uh never in any document i've seen showed any recognition of the harper fragment at any time i don't think the hscA or the arb even brought that to his attention okay doug horn is making a comment the arrb staff namely me consulted with dr mantic

[01:50:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6601s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6601s)

staff namely me consulted with dr mantic about what questions to ask the three pathologists this is when doug was working for the for the arrb dr mantek asked us to focus on the large 6.5 millimeter apparent metal fragment in the ap skull x-ray we did at all of their depositions none of the pathologists under oath before the arrb remembered sitting in the 6.5 millimeter apparent fragment on the ap film the night of the autopsy thanks for helping us out doug horn yes that's absolutely correct and i added the recollections of john eversol the radiologist i asked him specifically if there was any large metallic-like fragment on the skull x-ray and he said no there wasn't but the minute i asked him about the 6.5 millimeter object he stopped talking forever about the jfk autopsy all right next question would you please explain in layman's terms optical density measurement the device is a very simple one which you can purchase online it measures the transmission of light through a very small area so the device produces its own light source and it measures the transmitted light through the other side of the x-ray film so if you like you could report it as a percent transmission but the optical density measurements are usually done as done as a logarithmic measurement not percentages they can however be converted into percentages anytime you wish so i sampled many such tiny spots on all of the x-rays at the archives as i showed you in the graphs okay next question so how many bullets do you believe hit jfk well i suspect the throat wound was caused by a small

[01:52:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6722s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6722s)

the throat wound was caused by a small shard of glass or perhaps a piece of a bullet that ruptured when it hit the windshield if the latter was true then there must have been a metal fragment in the throat at some point that day and it must have been removed so you have to accept that as part of that scenario but i think the

glass shard fragment is a genuine option and one reason for thinking that is because the autopsy technician noticed two or three tiny holes in jfk's cheek that kept oozing fluid at the autopsy this is this was so much in fact that he had to close them how else could jfk have three tiny holes in his cheek unless it was an additional pieces of glass from the windshield that shattered so the other if it was if it was a glass fragment that entered the throat you would never have seen it on the x-ray and you wouldn't be able to find it if you were a pathologist looking for it either so that seems to me like the most likely scenario and of course a small glass fragment would not travel very far either it would not exit the body it would stop in the body perhaps over that large uh five centimeter contusion at the top of the lung okay and then and then you had other shots the the back wound was very superficial the pathologist could never trace any uh depth at that site so i think that was a piece of shrapnel we have x-ray evidence um from low-energy x-ray scattering that there was metal at that site in fact the chemistry analysis showed that it was likely copper so i think that was probably a small piece of copper that from a bullet that hit the street first fragmented and then a small piece uh hit jfk in the back and then you talked about three shots to the head and three headshots right

[01:54:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6841s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NfIDau5PP18&t=6841s)

the head and three headshots right okay next question are the fractures that appear on the right lateral x-ray located on the anatomical right or left side of the skull oh yes look okay uh can you say definitively that the neck wound is an entrance wound well i think you just answered that well i i think all the evidence points toward it being an entrance wound the single bullet theory is just nonsense okay uh doug horn isn't the t-shaped object on the skull x-ray light blue in color indicating it was a scratch yeah the film base uh tends to be light blue in color so you're probably seeing the light blue from the film base on over which the emulsion is prepared when it's manufactured okay do you know is the final question do you know whether dr wecht agrees with your findings no i don't i have uh presented many of these arguments to dr weck but he seems to confine himself to strictly a forensic pathology rather than the broader scope of the issues that i present here okay that wraps up the q a uh dr mantic it's been a really great evening insightful evening and i want to thank you again for taking the time to share your insights with us my pleasure jacob okay thank you all for tuning in that concludes our presentation next week we'll wrap up the heart of the conference the medical evidence surrounding the autopsy with dr gary aguiar so we look forward to seeing you all there and thank you again for tuning in and we'll see you next week you

---

END